

PARTIAL ROOF REPLACEMENT

**JOHN WALLACE MIDDLE SCHOOL
71 HALLERAN DRIVE
NEWINGTON, CT 06111
BID# 8, 2011-12
STATE PROJECT NO. 094-0100**

S/P+A PROJECT NO. 11.010

DATE: June 19, 2012

The following changes to the Drawings and Project Specifications shall become a part of the Drawings and Project Specifications; superseding previously issued Drawings and Project Specifications to the extent modified by Addendum No. 1.

RFIs:

RFI's are attached as part of this addendum. (2)

General Clarifications:

Contractor will be responsible for all Division 15 work indicated in the Drawings and Project Specifications. The Town of Newington will not provide plumbing services related to this project contract.

The pre-bid meeting sign-in sheet is attached. (1)

Changes to the Specifications:

SECTION 07212 – RIGID INSULATION BOARD

Page 6, Section 3.2, Article D.1.a, second to the last sentence, revise “fasten” and “fastening” to respectively read “mop” and “mopping”.

Page 7, Section 3.2, Article D.1.b, last sentence, revise “adhere” and “adhering” to respectively read “mop” and “mopping”.

SECTION 07525 – MODIFIED BITUMINOUS SHEET ROOFING, Page 5, Section 2.1, Article E, revise entire paragraph to read as follows:

“E. Asphalt (Hot): ASTM D 312 Type IV, steep asphalt. Use only that asphalt which has all of the following information printed on the asphalt packages or on the bills of lading covering bulk asphalt.

1. Softening Point Range: The temperature ranges of the asphalt determined in accordance with ASTM D 312 and ASTM D 36.
2. Equiviscous Temperature Range: The temperature range, plus or minus 25 degrees F, at which a viscosity of 125 Centistokes is attained.
3. Finishing Blowing Temperature: The temperature at which the blowing of the asphalt has been completed.”

The bid date is unchanged by this addendum.

The addendum consists of five (5) pages of 8½” x 11” text.

End of Addendum ‘1’

Ken Linsley

From: Ken Linsley
Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2012 10:57 AM
To: 'Alan Verrastro'
Subject: RE: Wallace Middle School

From: Alan Verrastro [<mailto:alverrastros.beaulieu@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2012 9:39 AM
To: Ken Linsley; Mike Beaulieu; Marissa Beaulieu; alanbeaulieu.beaulieucompany@gmail.com
Subject: Wallace Middle School

Ken, I just want to recap some of the questions I had, as well as other contractors, from yesterday's prebid at Wallace Middle school.

1. How is the large RTU that is scheduled for replacement being handled? Who is purging/evacuating it? Who owns the old unit after its removal? Is the curb going to be available to flash into the roof so TRANE can simply install the new unit after removing a temporary curb cap by the roofer? **The contractor is responsible for the removal & disposal of the existing large mechanical unit. The contractor is also responsible for the installation of a new roof curb. If the roofer has completed the roof curb prior to the arrival of the new unit than the contractor must temporally make this area watertight until the new unit arrives.**
2. Same questions about the smaller unit being removed apply **yes, see responses above..**
3. Where are the structural modifications being made? At the large unit being replaced or the small unit being replaced/removed? **the structural modifications are to be made to the small unit only as indicated on DWG A1.**
4. For all the units, it was stated that the school's plumber is going to be installing the shut off valves. Will the plumber be cutting the existing pip, installing a valve, and terminating the gas line with a cap at each unit location, allowing the roofing mechanical contractor to work from the individual valves to the units only? Also, will the main gas line feed from the meters have to be raised, and if so, who is responsible for purging and testing the units on the current re-roofed sections? **The contractor is responsible for the all gas pipe, electrical & duct work re-working on the existing mech units.**
5. The high roof above the gymnasium has not had the drain bowels tested for asbestos. Who is responsible for the burden of testing costs? Also, the specs require a HEPA vac and Asbestos Contractor to be used in cleaning the roof of debris. Due to the non-friable status of the ACM, is this necessary? **The tests for the drain bowls has been completed, see asbestos report located within the spec. see asbestos spec for instructions for abatement.**
6. There is a discrepancy between the specs and drawings. The specs call for a glass felt tar paper barrier on the deck then insulation and no cover-board. Drawings have no barrier and a cover-board. Furthermore, if there is to be a cover-board, what is the spec for it? **The paper barrier is in place for the tectum deck, the coverboard is located in spec section 07212-4**
7. The specs seem to be written for cold applied BUR, yet at the walk through it was mentioned that the system is to be hot applied. Please confirm which it will be. **Please see addendum #1 for change in spec to hot applied.**

That is all I can recall right now. Thanks,

--

Alan Verrastro

Beaulieu Company, LLC

(860) 250-5908 cell

(860) 647-8589 office

(860) 646-7528 fax

alverastro.beaulieu@gmail.com

